

DAYLIGHT

ORGAN OF THE COUNTER - EVOLUTION GROUP

Patrons:

**The Immaculate Conception
St Joseph and St Michael Archangel**

Editor and Secretary:

**John G Campbell
5 Wallace Avenue
STEVENSTON
Ayrshire
Scotland**

July - August, 1977

Contents: CURRENT EVENTS, REACHING FOR THE STARS, IN DALLAS, TEXAS, THE CLERICS OF MAYNOOTH, THE THEISTIC EVOLUTIONISTS, TIR NAN OG, GENESIS, RENEWAL AT LOURDES, UNEMPLOYMENT AND INFLATION, PEKIN MEN, STOP PRESS ITEM.

Supplement: THE PROPHET, TEILHARD DE CHARDIN

Obituary

Please pray for the repose of the soul of Thomas Campbell, brother of the Editor, who died suddenly on July 25th, 1977. He was a humble man, who spent a hidden life in devotion to his family.

R.I.P.

CURRENT EVENTS

The David Watson case

So, Mr. David Watson has lost his case before the Appeals Tribunal. Mr. Watson, it will be remembered, is the teacher who was dismissed from his post, by the Hertfordshire County Council, for refusing to teach Evolution as Religious Instruction. Yes, these are odd, odd days in which we are living.

It must be recognised that which is the essence of this matter; the State in effect has ruled that Evolution is the true religious doctrine, to be taught in the State schools; and now the State has ruled that teachers who refuse to teach the State doctrine shall be dismissed from their employment, which is to say, virtually from their profession. If this is not the total State what is it?

Excelsior!

Our contemporary Catholic Creation Newsletter of the U.S.A., achieves new excellence. In its present issue Miss Paula Haigh includes a supplement giving the views of the Early Fathers and St. Thomas on Creation. For those who are active in the counter-Evolution debate this newsletter is a "must". It is obtainable from Fr. P. Lassiter, 3, Brook Gardens, London, SWB, OLY.

Our French Correspondent

Now touring Scotland in a little Citroen, mentions some points of the present situation in France. In one case a lady teacher - the family well known to him - objected to teaching Evolution as Religious Instruction. But the bishop, whom she interviewed, was adamant; and she has now resigned her post.

Meanwhile the Church of Cardinal Marty continues its course. Two French bishops recently addressed Masonic lodges. An Anglican bishop was invited over to "say Mass" in Chartres Cathedral. And, to extend this all-embracing

ecumenicism, church premises were given to the Mahommetans to celebrate the feast of Ramadan. Such are the days in which we live.

Fr. Lassiter's book

on Evolution, specially written for the young, is soon to be published. Our congratulations to Fr. Lassiter. Our congratulations also to Augustine Publishers for being the first British Catholic publishers to enter this field - in bright contrast to the Evolutionist "Catholic" Truth Society.

A correspondent

states that he has never heard the Genesis account of creation among all the Bible readings which we are given each Sunday. If this point is correct, it is, indeed, a most significant one.

A South African reader

writes that he is at present defending Catholic teaching against a radio priest who, in a recent broadcast, asserted that Adam and Eve never existed - and all the rest of it. We have rushed our South African reader all available material, and wish him the victory of Michael's sword.

EDITOR'S LETTER

Dear and Gracious Readers,

First of all, we have to thank the correspondents who have sent congratulations on the appearance of Daylight from America, from France, from Scotland, from London and other English parts, from Ireland, and now from South Africa. And our particular thanks to Noel and Monica King for their write-up in the gallant Defender, for this has been very productive. It all shows that a Catholic reply to the prevalent Evolutionist propaganda had been eagerly awaited.

At the same time, would those pleased with Daylight and who have not yet sent in their names please do so - even if they haven't a copper at the moment. They will readily understand that high costs and postage must curtail our circulation of the newsletter to those who really want it.

An esteemed correspondent makes the interesting point that the mixture in Daylight could be that bit too heavy, too much about dead men's bones. Whilst the predominant contents of the newsletter must necessarily concentrate on Evolution, we shall try to lighten things a little by including an occasional topic about live men's bones.

The predominant theme of this issue is Teilhard de Chardin, a matter which hardly requires any explanation, for here indeed is the prophet of the New Catholicism. So, let's proceed

The Editor.

REACHING FOR THE STARS

The recent American space probe to Mars failed to bring back any organic matter which would demonstrate life on the planet. And this was a considerable disappointment to the scientists, for they made no bones about it; they had hoped to announce the presence of life on Mars. These hopes and the first optimistic reports were reported in the world press.

One American scientist expressed it in a nutshell; that the theory of universal Evolution necessarily implied that life, in some form or another, should have evolved throughout the universe. But, nothing daunted, one biologist attached to the project declared that the negative result was not evidence against Evolution, but merely showed that life was hard to find on Mars - faith springs eternal.

Now, the finding of organic life on Mars would, of course, not prove Evolution; it would but demonstrate God's plan for that planet. But the failure to find such life would demonstrate the uniqueness of our planet Earth, at least in that which we regard as our universe.

We are here taken back to the descriptions of the first American astronauts. They saw beautiful Earth, decked around with white filmy clouds, its intensely blue seas, the lighter and dark emeralds of its pampas and rain forests. Yes, our Earth alone possesses an atmosphere in which plants and animals and men can exist, this in vast spaces of non-life. Obviously it points to a particular and special creation, as is man himself.

The thought occurs, is it possible that the thought behind the star-towers of today is similar to that behind the first Tower "reaching to the stars"? For the ancient world also had its myths of the eternally existing universe and Evolution.

IN DALLAS, TEXAS

Facts and Figures of April 1977 recounts the educational furore and the unusual television debate in Dallas.

Creationists had persuaded the Dallas School Board to introduce as supplements into the curriculum scientific - creation textbooks, i.e., to give the alternative scheme to that of the existing Evolutionist hand-books. Thereupon an Evolutionist cyclone of protest struck this southern city. It was not to be tolerated, they protested, that any scheme implying religion should be introduced into the schools.

From this one must infer that a scientific scheme implying God must not be considered even if it be true, and that the function of the schools is to propagate the "religion" of atheism irrespective of truth.

But are we not told that science judges facts objectively, and always gives its verdict on the merits of the case as such? But now it seems to be a matter of Hobson's choice; you are academically free as long as you deny God at the outset.

A television debate followed, of which the outstanding feature was the opening remarks of the chief Evolutionist protagonist, Ken Gjemere. He declared:

"We chopped off their heads in California; we chopped off their heads in Arkansas and - here comes the axe in Dallas!"

Similar emotional outburst took place during the debate.

THE CLERICS OF MAYNOOTH

In spite of the underlying grimness of this affair, it is still one of a musical-comedy flavour. Two of the cleric lecturers at Maynooth are suing the Irish Bishops for wrongful dismissal; one having taken the notion and got himself married; the other refusing to dress in clerical garb when lecturing to the aspirants for the priesthood. The Irish Teachers' Union

is taking up the gage for the two dismissed lecturers in the name of "academic freedom". Presumably if an ecumenically-minded cleric were to turn Mohamettan, bringing his three wives to the campus, he would still claim the right to speak from his priveleged rostrum to the clerical students.

Here one must put the pungent question - And have the Irish Bishops no right to their academic freedom, in the very institution they have set up? And have the mere laity - who ultimately foot the bills - no right to academic freedom, i.e., in the education of their children? One notes the peculiar arrogance of this new priesthood of the academics, that they have a right to take up a job in any institution, and then to declare and comport themselves contrary to its whole aim and objects. The others have only a "right" to pay to keep them in a becoming state of life.

What of this freedom? You simply couldn't have a barman giving out Prohibitionist leaflets at his bar, and then suing the publican for wrongful dismissal. The truth is that the academic has the same freedom as the barman and the bricklayer and the plumber. He can put his alarm clock and his shirts in his bag, and depart for pastures new.

But behind the present farce there is a grim "whodunnit". St. Patrick's, Maynooth, was a Catholic seminary of a world-wide repute; a granitic fortress of Catholic Faith and learning. At the same time the Irish Hierarchy was considered a conservative, even an old-fashioned one.

Suddenly came the changes. The seminary was elevated into a Pontifical University. Then it became what the hairdressers call a "unisex" establishment, with modern maidens strolling around the campus. Then the Divinity courses became altered so that they could be taken by those not of the Catholic Faith - there was a much publicised picture of a Protestant parson receiving his B.D. degree. It need hardly be elaborated; Maynooth now stands for things contrary to those for which it once stood.

The obvious question is, what could have made a conservative Hierarchy retract every aspiration and policy which it had previously declared? It is indeed a question of "whodunnit".

This almost incredible story of Maynooth is given to show that it is no illusion when one asserts that there are mysterious forces at work in Catholic education, concerning Evolution and everything else.

THE THEISTIC - EVOLUTIONISTS

(PART 1)

The Theistic-Evolutionists have opened the gates from within to the Evolutionists. Their unending propaganda has destroyed in the minds of most of the children the cosmological picture of traditional religion. The unaffected student is a rarity.

With the Evolutionists proper the Theistic-Evolutionists hold that evolutionary forces, innate in nature, brought the universe about; the difference being that the Theistic - Evolutionists hold that God performed the initial act of creation, the evolutionary forces being the secondary causes which He implanted in things.

When challenged the Theistic-Evolutionists claim a long genealogy, right back to St. Augustine (a much disputed doctrine here) but the term applied to this doctrine is, generally, Delegated Creation. But will the Theistic-Evolutionists use this term, one so precise, so adequate for their purposes? No, they insist invariably in using the term Evolution, that which is generally accepted as connoting the self-creating universe without any reference to God. It really is of no use quoting such a rarity as Lamarck; one need only refer to the works of the great patriarchs of Evolution down to those of the Gaylord Simpsons of today. Thus, we have the astonishing spectacle of clerics giving "the scientists" - of secular science - as the sources of their theology.

This playing with terms on such a subject is playing with fire, and we may witness the uncontrollable prairie-fire which they have lighted in the schools today.

If the subject of Delegated Creation, precisely so named, with references to the Early Fathers, were to be given to the students there would be a different tale to tell. But is it precisely Evolution that is taught, and this has led to the virtual disintegration of Christian Doctrine. In the leaflets given to the children God is mentioned, of course, at the outset, but thereafter, as they say, the subject is dropped. There is shown the Evolutionist chart, the molecules, the marine creatures becoming serpents and then birds, the animals evolving one into the other, and finally the monkeys evolving into man. This is shown without the slightest reference to man's special creation in the image and likeness of God, without the slightest mention of the First Parents and the Fall, so that the Redemption and all supernatural religion inevitably becomes wafted into the area of myth. And the children are able to assure orthodox teachers that Adam and Eve is "just a myth"!

Indeed, one of the little C.T.S. pamphlets refers the reader to the prominent Evolutionist Dobzhianski as the great authority. At the same time, to give whatever credit may be due, another pamphlet from the same stable does insist throughout on the control and direction of the evolutionary processes by God. But even in this latter pamphlet there is shown the ascent of man through the animal kingdom without any indication of the special creation of the human soul, and Adam and Eve and the Fall are not even mentioned in this scheme of things. In this - one of the more orthodox types of instruction - on the central facts of man's creation the children are left completely uninformed.

With this general instruction (the universe containing its own creative processes) the children seem to become imbued with the Evolutionist spirit; and God becomes a very distant and nebulous figure, almost redundant in the scheme of things. Indeed, the gap between Theistic-Evolution and Evolution proper could well be bridged if God could be conceived of as identifiable with the great life-forces of the universe.

And this bridging of the gap is really happening, obviously with the aid of Teilhardian propagandists among the teachers. As one of the youth expressed it to the writer - "God is very hard to envisage, isn't He It must have been Evolution!" Anyone who listens to the young will confirm that this attitude is quite a common one today. There is a situation unprecedented in all history: the children emerge from the Catholic religious instruction considering the possibility of no-God.

The actual state of affairs may vary from place to place. But in one area, an experienced teacher informs the writer, the great majority of Catholic children leave school sceptical of any supernatural religion.

The human soul

In the general propaganda of the Catholic Theistic - Evolutionists (to be called the Catholic Evolutionists from now on) there are many gaps and indeed contradictions.

In the Encyclical Humani Generis Pope Pius XII permitted research and discussion to take place regarding the origin of the human body (but not for Evolution to be taught!) whilst laying down de fide that the human soul is immediately created by God; and laying down that all conclusions must be judged by Sacred Scripture. Let us have a little of this research and discussion.

One does not require an extended theological voyage to discover what is the traditional view of the creation of man. Simply as Genesis II states, "... then the Lord formed man of dust from the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living being." This had always been accepted as meaning the direct creation of the whole man, that is until the arrival of the Catholic Evolutionists with the new tidings.

These heralds hastened to assure the Church that science had now proved - or practically proved - the case for Evolution, and that, consequently, some evolutionary approach to the creation of man would have to be adopted. But they encountered the obstacle that the immediate creation of the human soul was a doctrine de fide. Thereupon they plunged for the solution, that the body of man evolved from the animal, but the human soul was of immediate creation by God.

Here let us examine the processes required in this solution, that is from what is called the "phenomenological" point of view. For truth is, that this glib phrase, "the body of man evolved from the animal", covers a mass of inconsistencies which the new prophets in their Evolutionist fervour have not stopped to consider.

In the first place, there are those of the semi-Teilhardian school who picture - "picture" is the word - the monkey becoming more and more intelligent, its soul becoming more and more human, until it suddenly burgeons forth as man. But, to bow to the immediate creation of the human soul, it was God who stepped in to give the final "immediate" touch by which this almost human soul became a complete human soul. One need only point out that to create is to bring something into being

that previously was not in being; and that, therefore, to immediately create is to bring into being by an immediate act, that is, not by any process of Evolution or Delegated Creation in nature. This sort of explanation is again that playing with words.

Indeed, this alleged process has been questioned from the purely natural aspect. The monkey, as we know, earns its survivability by means of its marvellous agility; it can thus gain its fruits and nuts for nutriment; thus it can leap into the higher branches and escape its predators. But, as its limbs began forming into human mould, it would lose this agility and its capacity to gain food; yet, all the while, it would not have gained the full human knowledge of the use of tools by which man ensures his survival; it would be left a starving creature at the base of the tree, a prey to every predator. This alleged process has been well dubbed, "The Survival of the Unfittest". The questions herein have been asked from the beginning of the Evolution saga, and have always remained unanswered; the myth, seemingly, must be accepted on grounds of faith. But our main concern here is with the creation of the human soul.

A certain way out would be that of "the great mutation", that is the postulate (to overcome the Evolutionist difficulties) of a new, complete man being born from an animal mother; which would meet the requirements of direct creation of the soul were the soul of the new being from the first instant of its conception to be a truly human soul. But, this would also mean the immediate creation of a female new being by the same method; then the two being predestined to meet and becoming our First Parents.

Further, there is again the difficulty of the jungle environment, that is on any grounds claiming feasibility. As is well known, the lost children who find foster-mothers in the jungle lose the use of human speech, and have a yearning to return to animal ways. Then, how could the infant new-humans, living from birth in a tribe of monkeys, possibly attain to any human development. In this search for solutions to deny the direct creation of man, one absurdity, one myth after another is presented to us. One might well ask, "What is the difficulty about Genesis", for it requires the fewer miracles?

And thus, on the very grounds of feasibility - the account of the infant new-human attaining human development among the animals must be rejected. Then, if the animal origin of man's body plus the direct creation of his soul is to be maintained, it would mean that the new human soul would have to be placed in an adult animal body. But that animal body possesses its own animal soul. It would mean, then, that God would extinguish the soul in the animal body, and replace it by an immediately created human soul. This, whilst truly effecting the immediate creation of the human soul, would mean the creation of man from an animal corpse!

Indeed, if the Catholic Evolutionists, immersed in their Evolutionary fervour, have bothered to think the matter out, then they have been less than frank in stating the only feasible way in which man could have emerged from the animal body.

In General

It would seem that any reconciliation between Catholic doctrine and Evolution remains fated. The Catholic Evolutionist would postulate that the animal body was slowly evolving, arriving at a semi-human form before

entrance into full humanity, but all the while the animal soul remained as an animal soul unaffected by the evolutionary processes (given the acceptance of the immediate creation of the human soul). But here is something contrary to any natural operation, and contrary to any Evolution doctrine. Therefore, given the direct creation of the human soul, the scientific indications point to the special and direct creation of the whole man. For it is a truth, even of the natural order, that it is the soul that informs the body.

In all this desperate hacking to fit Evolution into the picture there is one great inconsistency. On the one hand, it is hardly feasible (as they say) that God immediately created the human body. But, on the other, they declare that God did create the human soul by his immediate act, that human soul of memory, understanding and will, the image of God Himself.

Polygenesis

The various groups of the Catholic Evolutionists merge imperceptibly into one another, so that it is sometimes difficult to know when one is in the camp of the comparatively orthodox or of the most heterodox. But even some of the less extreme have no hesitation in teaching Polygenesis, the multiple origins of the human race; a doctrine which, in fact, is implicit in the whole Evolutionist myth.

This Polygenesis declares that Adam and Eve were merely symbolic beings representative of the race - or should one say, "races"! But, obviously, if Adam and Eve were not our actual First Parents, there would be no Original Sin, or Redeemer, no Jesus Christ as God, in fact, no supernatural religion whatsoever. Like the business of the evolved human body, have they not stopped to think this out?

This doctrine - rather myth - is being widely put over. In at least one Catholic Training College it is specifically taught - the obdurate descendants of Adam being sharply rebuked! And Catholic parents confirm that their children return from school to inform them that Adam and Eve is all a myth.

On Polygenesis it is required only to call on the essential rationality, common sense, of the human mind. We are told that thousands of monkeys, in wildly varying circumstances, evolved into the respected patriarchs of the human race, but each one had evolved with precisely the same human anatomy, down to the same ten fingers and ten toes, never one with a third eye the better to scan the horizon, never a one with a mental faculty more or less: always the one standard man. And all these products of the separate evolutions had the firm conviction that they were all members of the one human race. How wise our revered ancestors in insisting on this uniformity! Here indeed is a chain of miracles outdoing anything in Genesis. Seemingly miracles are quite acceptable - as long as God has nothing to do with them.

The thing to observe about these mentors of Catholic Evolutionism is their solemnity. But then, if they did possess a sense of humour they could not possibly describe their myths as "the de-mythologisation of Adam and Eve"!

The present writer has been accused of being too denunciatory towards the Catholic Evolutionists. But these are the very people who, in the Training Colleges, apply scorn and every pressure to the unready

and unprepared students (chapter and verse available) to make them give assent to their Evolutionist ideas.

Therefore, it is timely that these Catholic Evolutionist lecturers be requested to return to the rostrum, to recount the miracles of Polygenesis, and to explain precisely by what processes the human body was evolved so that it could contain an immediately created human soul.

So far this demand has encountered only a great silence.

(To be continued)

WORDS OF TIR NAN OG

(The ideas, expressions and so on of our contributor, Tir Nan Og, the Peoples' Liberator and Poet, are peculiarly his own - Ed.)

I've always told the Editor you can have too much of a good thing, too much high-falutin' argument about Evolution. For a change, let's have a look at that mysterious being with the cross on its back, the donkey.

A donkey, kept as a family pet (as it was once the pet of the First Family) can even master the turning of a door handle, as it can manage almost any kind of lock or bolt on a gate. More extraordinary, donkeys are put in the field along with horses, to watch them, and when the foals are disobedient and in danger of getting into swamp or entangling themselves in barbed wire the donkey will turn its hindquarters and bestow a kick to make them behave. Yes, this mysterious being assumes custodianship, and the horses instinctively acknowledge it - twice recently I've viewed this phenomenon of the donkey herdsman.

Now, you certainly cannot explain these mysterious characteristics of the donkey as an Evolution from the wild ass. But these are dangerous thoughts for the students of today, which might lead them to the heresy that in the beginning it was not life in the wild at all.

POEM

Said the monkey on the tree,
Confidentially to me,
"Now the change is almost total,
For we're readin' Aristotle."

T.N.A.

GENESIS

by D. Sullivan

In the first article of the Creed we confess that we believe in God the Father Almighty, Maker of heaven and earth. It is based on Genesis 1 and 2 supported by many references in the Old and New Testament.

Genesis, the first and the most important book of the Bible is altogether unique, for without Genesis, the rest of the Bible is well-nigh impossible. Everything begins in it. In this book we have the first revelation of God regarding Himself, the only record of the origin of the universe and man, the first sin and its consequence, the first Gospel, the first death, the first record of human civilization and of the end of the first world as well as the emergence of the second after the great universal Flood, the beginning of the race from which the Messiah was to come. In fact, in Genesis we have the beginning of all things; it is the foundation of the Bible and all that follows has its roots embedded in this Revelation of God to man.

But the very importance of Genesis has made it a special target for attack on the part of the enemies of the Bible since the beginning of the Church. Satan and his agents soon realised that if Genesis could be undermined by creating doubt and scepticism concerning its reliability, all that follows would become equally uncertain. After Gnosticism, the most vicious attack on Genesis inerrancy has come by Rationalism, Higher Criticism, Neo-Orthodoxy, and Evolutionism in modern times.

Man can examine life only after it has come into existence. No experiments can solve life's mystery or its origin. That can be known only through Revelation. St. Paul is therefore expressing a profound truth when he says: "By faith we understand that the world was created by the word of God, so that what is seen was made out of things which do not appear" (Heb 11, 3).

Revelation is God giving man knowledge that can be known or discovered in no other way than through the Author of that knowledge, the only One who can solve the mystery of all things. Therefore we do not call upon Geology or any other science to interpret the Scriptures. Scripture stands by itself. And the language is clear. Nowhere do we find any reference that would in any way indicate that creation did not happen in 24 hours and a week of 6 days. Every closer observer of nature and every scientist knows that all of creation is a unified system, all of its parts interlocking like the individual parts of a complex mechanism, none of which could survive without the others. The laws of nature exclude all possibility of long periods of time between the creation of the different parts. If we permit human reason to interpret, or science to tell us about this part of Scripture, what about other portions which are more difficult to harmonise with reason than the works of creation, for example the Atonement through the death and the Blood of the Son of God? The Virgin Birth? The Divinity of Christ? His Resurrection? The Real Presence in the Lord's Supper? The Resurrection of the dead on the last day? And all the other miraculous statements recorded in the Bible?

As to the scientists' views, it must be taken that their theories are not based on scientifically demonstrated facts, but are mere assumptions, particularly regarding their assertions of evolution.

It is indeed futile to try to harmonise Genesis with evolutionary theories. And finally we have the right to ask: "Who is man to sit in judgement of God? What right has he to set limits to His omnipotence and power? In the name of mere human science, and to insist that the universe could not have been created in six days as is recorded in Genesis?"

The problem with these men is that their god is too small. They have created their god in the image of man, and reduced God's omnipotent ~~power~~ to merely human potentialities. In fact it is sheer arrogance on the part of little finite men to set a limit to the Infinite God, or to attempt to measure God by human standards. Finite man cannot comprehend His Infinite Eternal Wisdom and Power. In fact, only when we contemplate the Wonders of Creation (as described in the book of that name by Alfred Rehwinkel), can we get a glimpse of God's Majesty, Wisdom and omnipotent Power, and confess with awe, humility and reverence the words of the first article of the Creed: "I believe in God the Father Almighty, Maker of heaven and earth."

RENEWAL AT LOURDES

Nothing from French prelates should surprise us these days. The latest audacity is that the newly appointed Bishop of Tarbes intends to recast the whole role of Lourdes. It is to have a new Commission featuring the "anthropological" and "social communications" aspects, and this towards some "ecumenical" objective; which is to say the authentic role of Lourdes will gradually be phased out. The word "incredible" is now of such daily usage that another word will soon have to be found.

An ordinary peasant man might enquire if the Blessed Virgin Mary, the Mother of God, had not unmistakably communicated the message of Lourdes. But such a one is not an intellectual.

Of course, and without any doubt, the anthropology will be on the lines of the Teilhardian Evolutionist ascension and unification of mankind. But, then, what will happen as more and more pilgrims begin to sense that the whole of the Teilhardian ideas are founded upon a hoax? By then, we must hope, the intelligentsia will have fled with their tents from the hallowed spot, leaving the simple faithful in possession once more.

All who are interested in Lourdes should read the account in the current Christian Order, along with the trenchant comments of Fr. Paul Crane.

UNEMPLOYMENT AND INFLATION

This is not a matter of dead men's bones, but verily one of live men's bones. Here is unemployment the curse of our society. It is possible that those who are in the category of the safe jobs do not realise what is the effect of this perpetual insecurity on the mind of the worker; the feeling that the more effort he expends the speedier he is working himself out of a job; the knowledge that the great aim of our society is labour-saving i.e., to dispense with his services. It is this which is the explosive charge at the bottom of all industrial relations. In truth, it is a society of contradiction.

It is not for nothing that Pope Leo XIII declared his great aim to be the emancipation of the proletariat, and that Pope Pius XI had to deplore that this aim had been generally ignored.

Our present greivous unemployment, it is to be noted, is not attributed to a "depression", that which was considered to be a natural catastrophe brought about by the operation of the unfathomable "economic forces". No, our present unemployment is something that was planned, or, at least, contingently planned; and here we need hardly refer to the many exhortations that the desired economies must be undertaken, even with the result of large numbers of unemployed. The great aim was to eliminate Inflation.

The effects of Inflation are, of course, all too familiar, the constant increase in prices, with which the increase in incomes never seems to keep pace. These increases in prices fall with particular severity on the pensioners of various kinds, those dependent on small fixed incomes. But the constant loss in the purchasing power of money devalues the savings of everyone. Everyone feels cheated. Everyone feels that a major social injustice is being wrought.

But what are the causes of Inflation? Here it is necessary to get down to basics.

The whole thing really means an increase in the total of money in circulation in relation to the total of goods on offer. Thus, if each unit of goods today equals £2, whereas it previously equalled £1, then it is evident that another £1 for each unit of such goods has come into being. The extra pieces of money could not be paid over the counter for each unit of goods if they had not been brought into existence. That is, the creation of new money is what Inflation really means.

Now, it was formerly said that this creation of new money resulted from Government action, by means of public spending, by permitting constant and large increases in wages. But for quite a few years now we have had a rigid control of wages plus such a reduction in public spending that money cannot be found for the payment of police for even the most essential duties. Yet every year Inflation proceeds on its course, this creation of new money. It follows, therefore, that wage increases and public spending must be eliminated as the main cause of the continuing Inflation.

Therefore, the question must be asked, are there principles of the present financial system which cause an automatic increase in the volume of money? For money seems to go on breeding new money all the time.

The views of readers, to elucidate the great mystery, would be welcome.

PEKIN MEN

If the reader had not been prepared by Piltdown Man, then assuredly he would not believe the following account. In this case - believe it or not - the Skull has disappeared. Or it had never existed in the first place.

Scarcely less credible is the fact - there seems to be an element of mad comedy in all Evolutionist affairs - that none other than Teilhard de Chardin turned up in China, and then assumed the role of impresario, or at least publicity man, for Peking Man.

The following details are taken mainly from the lengthy account in Fr. P. O'Connell's book, Science of Today and the Problems of Genesis. The reason is that his was virtually an eye-witness account. He was stationed in China throughout the affair, and was able to read the reports as they were issued in both the English and Chinese language press. It was the contradictory nature of these reports that led him into his full investigation.

Excavations had been proceeding at Chouk-tien, not far from Peking, financed by the Rockefeller Foundation, and under the overall command of Dr. Black at the University of Peking. There were great expectations.

In 1922 a Dr. Zdansky discovered two molar teeth. In 1927 a Dr. Bohlin discovered another molar tooth. Then Dr. Black in an article in Paleontologica Sinica of the same year declared that this showed that a "hom-inoid", a missing-link, had once had his abode in the area; and he took the step of naming the creature Sinanthropus. The world press then began to discuss the impending discovery of Sinanthropus.

In 1928 there was announced the discovery of pieces of skull and a skull (actually a skull-cap) and Sinanthropus had made his appearance, presented as a being with ape-like characteristics, but more man than ape, able to use tools and knowing the use of fire. Dr. Black promised that a cast of the skull along with full details would be shown later. But the details were not given until 1931, and were accompanied, not by a cast of the skull, but by a model.

During all this time there had been no mention that the site was that of an ancient lime-burning industry - and you cannot have lime-burning without human fire. Also, while all the announcements were being made Dr. Pei, in charge at the site, had been shipping the remains of 30 large monkeys to Peking - but he had also shipped the skeletons of 10 normal human beings. The moral is clear; the human remains give sufficient explanation for the traces of fire, as we shall see later.

Teilhard de Chardin

Meanwhile Teilhard de Chardin had not been idle. Right away he had sent off a statement to the French press, informing the world of yet another missing-link. He went to France and brought back the Abbe' Brioul, an authority on the Stone Ages. But the Abbe' Brioul's statement displayed a lack of enthusiasm; whilst avoiding contradicting Teilhard and Black, it expressed doubts of the nature of the find.

The next visitor invited to the site was Marcellin Boule (the Father of Paleontology). His assessment was a shock. He rejected the theories on the monkey-man stoneworker as "a fantastic hypothesis".

Let us see what was "fantastic" about Teilhard's theories. The Abbe' Brieul, on his return to France, recounted his experiences in Anthropologie of March 1932, revealing that one section of the ancient lime-burning activity was of 132 square metres and 12 metres in depth, and contained over 2,000 hewn quartz stones (such as were used for the construction of primitive lime-burning furnaces). And this account is confirmed by Teilhard himself in Revue des Questions Scientifiques of 1934, in which he states that the work of quarrying in ancient times had extended on a front of near 200 metres.

But later on, with the passage of the years, here is what Teilhard says of this industrial activity in The Phenomenon of Man, p. 126:

" Near Pekin, on the other hand, Sinanthropus has been caught in his lair, a filled-up cave littered with stone implements mixed with charred bones. Ought we, as M. Boule suggests, to see in this industry (sometimes I admit of an astonishing quality) the vestiges of another man, unknown to us, to whom Sinanthropus, himself not a homo faber, served as prey. As long as no remains are found of this hypothetical man, I consider the idea gratuitous and, everything considered, less scientific. "

What has one to make of this? We need not bother discussing the fact that remains of true humans were found at the site (and there was no necessity whatsoever for the remains of the workers to be buried on the site). But here we have Teilhard claiming that Sinanthropus was an ape-like creature, which had newly stepped over the threshold of human intelligence, still having his abode in an animal lair, and yet this creature conducted a quarrying industry over 600 feet in extent, plus shaping all those quartz stones!

Again, we need not bother discussing the ancillary questions that arise, the number of workers necessary and for what possible markets. The fact is sufficient that Teilhard, to try and prove the point that his missing-link knew the use of fire, is willing to attribute to it the intelligence and capacity of a modern industrialist. It boggles the imagination, that this "expert" could blandly inform his readers that this "fantastic hypothesis" should be taken seriously. But all the original references and Teilhard's own statements have been given.

The Skull itself.

In 1934 Dr. Black died and was succeeded by a Dr. Weidenreich, also an Evolutionist; but the new chief did not make his official pronouncement until 1939. He then contradicted Dr. Black's figures for the skull capacity, and also rejected outright the cast of a mandible, declaring it to have been made from two different specimens. But neither did he, in his turn, produce the long-promised cast of the skull. He produced a head sculpted by a lady artist, a Miss Swan, according to his estimates. In passing, one has again to note the haphazard guesswork which they have the audacity to present to us as the fruits of an exact science.

Of course, naturally, it will be pointed out that such an extraordinary story cannot be accepted merely upon the word of Fr. O'Connell; we must have some corroboration. The pivotal statement in Fr. O'Connell's indictment is that the Chinese authorities - whilst displaying all the other relics - claim that the original fossils and skull were looted by the Japanese soldiery - though they left everything else! And this official explanation of the Chinese authorities is recorded by Boule and Valois in the 1952 edition of Les Hommes Fossiles.

Surely, then, we cannot be expected to give credence to Pekin Man, that is upon the "evidence" of a skull that has disappeared. Indeed, the whole Pekin business is even wilder than that of Piltdown. None-the-less the Evolutionists give their illustrations of Sinanthropus as if the thing were a scientific fact, waiting there for all to examine it. Indeed, here we are nonplussed, for how can we gain say a skull that has vanished into thin air! The minimum for scientific accuracy, for truth, is that they should withdraw their brochures, until such a time as the skull can be produced and subjected to the same scientific examination as that of Piltdown Man.

The record to date is that every one of the reputed missing-links has been shown to be a fraud or a hoax of one kind or another, these skulls presented as embodying scientific truth to the students in the schools. In our next issue we shall give a survey of these once claimants to immortality, along with an account of the remarkable findings at Fonetechevade which rule out of court the whole missing-link business.

Stop Press

THE VIET-NAM REFUGEES

A press release just received from the T.F.P. Association of Brazil recounts an appeal made to Pope Paul by this body on behalf of the refugees from the Marxist terror in Viet Nam.

These refugees, as we have seen in the daily press, have fled their country by taking to open boats in the China Sea. Anyone who knows what a voyage means in an open boat under the tropic sun will appreciate that it is no "paper tiger" from which they are fleeing. Many boatloads, the press recounts, have perished in these shark infested seas. But the worst is yet to come - some of the countries in which the survivors have landed have told them to move on. Those thus received took to their boats again to attempt the voyage to Australia, and some have now survived this incredible voyage.

The U.S.A., to its credit, is taking in some 15,000 of these refugees, but for many thousands more there is simply nowhere to go. Hence an appeal by the Pope to the world community would undoubtedly stir the consciences of many.

It may be that the various Catholic international bodies and Christian Aid are already striving might and main to assist in this greivous emergency; but if so their efforts have not received headline publicity. But if these bodies are not acting, then they are branded with the mark of an indelible iniquity. And have they not heard that "coming events cast their shadows before"?

Might we suggest that some of our readers should drop a letter to the newspapers on this subject?

ARRIVERDERCI

Dear and Gracious Readers,

In this issue we have tried for a little better lay-out, and we hope it results in easier reading.

In the meantime we are engaged in some researching for a supplement, Noah's Flood Scientifically Investigated. This, time permitting, we hope to have ready for the next issue, along with a special feature, Marxism is Darwinism. That's all for the moment. God bless all here!

Yours sincerely, *John Campbell*